TREATMENT OF HEMOPHILIA

November 2011 · No. 53

# ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF PAIN IN HEMOPHILIA PATIENTS

Randall R. Riley Michelle Witkop Edward Hellman Stacie Akins Indiana Hematology and Thrombosis Indianapolis, IN, U.S.A.



WORLD FEDERATION OF HEMOPHILIA FÉDÉRATION MONDIALE DE L'HÉMOPHILIE FEDERACIÓN MUNDIAL DE HEMOFILIA This document was originally published by Blackwell Publishing in *Haemophilia* 2011; 17(6): 839-845. It is reprinted with their permission.

© Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2011

The WFH encourages redistribution of its publications for educational purposes by not-for-profit hemophilia organizations. In order to obtain permission to reprint, redistribute, or translate this publication, please contact the Communications Department at the address below.

This publication is accessible from the World Federation of Hemophilia's website at www.wfh.org. Additional copies are also available from the WFH at:

World Federation of Hemophilia 1425 René Lévesque Boulevard West, Suite 1010 Montréal, Québec H3G 1T7 CANADA Tel. : (514) 875-7944 Fax : (514) 875-8916 E-mail: wfh@wfh.org Internet: www.wfh.org

The *Treatment of Hemophilia* series is intended to provide general information on the treatment and management of hemophilia. The World Federation of Hemophilia does not engage in the practice of medicine and under no circumstances recommends particular treatment for specific individuals. Dose schedules and other treatment regimes are continually revised and new side effects recognized. WFH makes no representation, express or implied, that drug doses or other treatment recommendations in this publication are correct. For these reasons it is strongly recommended that individuals seek the advice of a medical adviser and/or consult printed instructions provided by the pharmaceutical company before administering any of the drugs referred to in this monograph.

Statements and opinions expressed here do not necessarily represent the opinions, policies, or recommendations of the World Federation of Hemophilia, its Executive Committee, or its staff.

Treatment of Hemophilia Monographs Series Editor Dr. Johnny Mahlangu

# Haemophilia



# **REVIEW ARTICLE**

# Assessment and management of pain in haemophilia patients

R. R. RILEY, M. WITKOP, E. HELLMAN and S. AKINS Indiana Hematology and Thrombosis, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Summary. Haemophilia patients experience acute pain during joint bleeds and chronic pain from haemophilic arthropathy. More than 50% of haemophilia patients have painful joints that cause disability and impair quality of life. Unfortunately, only a few clinical studies have investigated the non-pharmacological or pharmacological treatments for pain or the adverse effects of pain on the health and quality of life of children and adults with haemophilia. There are no detailed algorithms or guidelines for pain management in haemophilia patients, and treatment is largely empirical. Therefore, a standardized approach to the management of pain in haemophilia patients is needed. This approach should include a close relationship between pain specialists and the staffs at haemophilia treatment centres; validated instruments specific to haemophilia

# Introduction

Joint injury from intra-articular haemorrhage in the patient with haemophilia results in acute pain that is typically treated with mild analgesics, rest, ice, compression and elevation. For more severe pain, opioids may be necessary to provide adequate relief to aid restoration of function. Many haemophilia patients have more than one type of pain, in addition to joint pain [1]. At present, there is a limited body of both specific guidelines on managing pain in patients with haemophilia, and information on its impact on overall health, physical activity and quality of life in children [2] and adults [3] with haemophilia. Current guidelines emphasize a team approach for managing patients, but specific, well-established guidelines are necessary for properly managing episodic and chronic pain in the haemophilia population [4,5].

The purpose of this review is to highlight the prevalence and impact of pain in patients with haemo-

Tel.: +1 (317) 871-0000; fax: +1 (317) 829-7783; e-mail: rriley@IHTC.org

Accepted after revision 7 April 2011

for assessing pain, quality of life and disability; and stepwise algorithms/protocols for treatment of chronic vs. acute pain and prophylactic vs early treatment. A pain treatment protocol should include a definition of the problem of pain and best practices for physicians. A call to action is needed to standardize treatment approaches to pain and to develop algorithms/protocols for the management of pain in haemophilia patients. This review will highlight the prevalence and devastating impact of pain in haemophilia patients, currently available treatment options and identify the unmet needs for pain management.

Keywords: chronic pain, haemophilia, haemophilic arthropathy, pain management, pain treatment guidelines, quality of life

philia and to provide an expert source of treatment options. Our goal is to propose a strategic course for managing pain throughout various stages of arthropathic injury in the haemophilia patient as part of a comprehensive haemophilia treatment programme. This review also serves as a call to action for standardized approaches to managing pain in haemophilia patients.

# Materials and methods

A literature search was conducted for articles published between 1990 and 2010 about haemophilia and the effects of joint damage on occurrence of acute and chronic pain. The National Library of Medicine (Pub-Med) database was consulted, and the reference lists of identified articles were reviewed for additional information and original sources. Search terms included haemophilia, pain, comorbidities, drug treatment and management.

# Impact of pain on patients with haemophilia

After years of repeated injury of a joint and exposure to the inflammatory and oxidant effects of haemoglobin, a complex haemophilic arthropathy ensues. The initial

Correspondence: Dr Randall R. Riley, Indiana Hematology and Thrombosis, 8402 Harcourt Rd, Suite 500, Indianapolis, IN, 46260, USA.

effect is acute pain, swelling and decreased range of motion [6]. Recrudescent injury leads to synovial inflammation, progressive cartilage destruction and bone erosion that lead to chronic synovitis if left unmitigated [6]. Concomitant symptoms of persistent chronic pain, joint stiffness, decreased range of motion and decreased function are associated with chronic synovitis and advanced arthropathy [6].

Pain, disability and reduced quality of life are the long-term effects burdening the patient with haemophilic arthropathy. In one survey of 71 patients with severe haemophilia (mean age 43 years) and an average of four painful joints, activities of daily living were limited in 89%, mood was negatively affected in 85% and untreated persistent pain was reported by 50% of patients. Another survey of 78 adult patients (ages 18–70 years) with severe haemophilia revealed that two-thirds suffered from more than one painful joint, with the ankle being the most common site of pain. Pain was a significant factor in their functional limitations [7].

Patients with haemophilia and haemophilic arthropathy experience substantially more disability and morbidity than the general population [8,9]. A study in Italy of 52 patients (aged 15–64 years) with severe haemophilia with inhibitors found that 81% were disabled and 27% had chronic synovitis [10]. Qualityof-life assessments identified pain in 71% of patients and extreme pain in 4%. Physical functioning, bodily pain and role-physical scores were lower than in the general population [10]. Among 1066 patients (median age, 36 years) with moderate haemophilia surveyed in the Netherlands, 73% reported a joint bleed in the past year, 43% had joint impairment, 27% were disabled and 15% reported chronic pain [11].

A survey in the United Kingdom of 68 patients (mean age, 41 years) with severe haemophilia A or B found that more frequent pain correlated with negative thoughts about pain (e.g. anger, fear, isolation-seeking behaviour and anticipating catastrophes) and increased concern about using pain medication [1]. Finally, an evaluation of 209 men with haemophilia A or B concluded that intensity of pain was the primary influence on physical quality of life, and negative thoughts about pain affected mental quality of life [3]. Thus, pain and its associated effects are common components of the lives of haemophilia patients.

# Management and assessment of pain

The increased use of prophylactic treatment haemophilia patients has reduced the overall burden of acute and chronic pain. However, early recognition and intervention to reduce hypertrophy in epiphyseal growth plates in paediatric patients is still essential to decrease the longterm effects of recurrent bleeding. In the adult patient, properly treated episodes of bleeding in childhood can lead to improved work performance, productivity, mobility, quality of life and psychosocial elements [2].

Studies on the effects of pain in patients with haemophilia conclude that effective pain management, including interventions to increase pain acceptance and reduce negative thoughts about pain, will improve quality of life. More frequent use of analgesics can reduce the functional limitations caused by chronic joint pain [3,7,12].

Specific training and guidelines analgesic use is critical for good management of chronic pain patients. The current recommendations for appropriate use of opioids are often given limited attention at the expense of the patient. A substantial body of information is readily available for treating and monitoring patients in need of improved pain control with opioids. However, an equally daunting amount of time and effort is required to comply with the concerns of good pain control, meeting regulatory requirements and making reasonable efforts to prevent the drug diversion that causes public safety concerns.

# Assessment of pain

When considering treatment of the chronic pain associated with haemarthropathy, guidelines and precautions from the general pain literature are especially relevant for the haemophilia population. First and foremost would be observation of the established universal precautions of pain management (Table 1) [13].

A comprehensive management approach should involve all members of the healthcare team, including haematologist, orthopaedic surgeon, physical therapist, nurse, psychologist, counsellor and pharmacist [5]. Training and the use of consistent guidelines and open communication are essential to optimizing treatment outcomes. A key component of pain management is establishing specific goals for each patient. However, a recent study in 1004 children and 2383 adults at 20 European haemophilia centres found that a comprehensive treatment approach is not followed at most centres [14]. Pain specialists are rarely consulted, and 25% of patients manage pain themselves. Pain management

Table 1. Universal precautions of pain management [13].

- 1. Make a diagnosis with appropriate differential
- 2. Psychological assessment, including risk of addictive disorders
- 3. Informed consent
- 4. Treatment agreement
- 5. Preintervention and postintervention assessment of pain level and function
- 6. Appropriate trial of opioid therapy  $\pm$  adjunctive medication
- 7. Reassessment of pain score and level of function
- Regularly assess the 'four A's' of pain medicine: analgesia (pain relief), activities of daily living (psychosocial functioning), adverse effects and aberrant drug behaviour
- 9. Periodically review pain diagnosis and comorbid conditions including addictive disorders
- 10. Documentation

practices were highly variable, highlighting the need for evidence-based guidelines.

When assessing pain among haemophilia patients, the character, location, intensity, frequency and duration of pain and aggravating and relieving factors are important to document throughout the management of a patient to guide treatment [5]. A critical element to evaluate is joint range of motion, which can be adversely affected by pain specific to synovitis and joint arthropathy. Pain assessment is an essential component of adequate care, and some examples that are more applicable to the haemophilia patient are included (Table 2) [15–19].

# Non-pharmacologic management

More than 80% of the world's population uses some type of complementary or alternative medicines (CAMs). The cost of CAMs in the US healthcare system was estimated to be \$34 million in 2009, with 38.1 million American adults using CAM at least once [20]. Complementary or alternative medicines can be utilized with or outside of conventional therapy.

Non-pharmacologic therapy for pain management in haemophilia patients has been a mainstay of conservative treatment (Table 3) [21–27]. One approach is expressed by the acronym RICE, for Rest, Ice, Compression and Elevation (Table 4) [28]. However, herbal remedies are difficult, if not impossible, to endorse due to the dearth of valid scientific data supporting their use in the United States. In addition, questions about the quality of products and/or veracity of marketing claims are not subject to US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) scrutiny or rigorous testing standards.

# Pharmacologic treatments for pain

Patients with haemophilia utilize a wide variety of pharmacologic treatments from over-the-counter and prescription sources. These therapies may be part of a

Table 2. Examples of instruments used for assessing pain in the haemo-philia patient.

Instrument	Purpose
Haemophilia Pain Coping Questionnaire [15]	Measures pain frequency, intensity and coping strategies
Haemophilia Joint Health Score [16]	Assesses joint health over time, including swelling, muscle atrophy, strength and joint range of motion, crepitus, axial alignment, joint pain and gait
Pain Coping Strategies Questionnaire [17]	Measures cognitive and behavioural strategies related to pain coping strategies
Knee Society Score [18]	Rates pain, stability and the knee's range of motion and a functional score that rates a patient's ability to walk and climb stairs
Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Index [19]	Assesses patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis using 24 parameters, including pain

Table 3. Non-pharmacologic interventions for pain management in patients with haemophilic arthropathy.

Intervention	Description
Acupuncture	Traditional form of Asian medicine. Treatment is applied with needles at specific sites along pathways associated with particular physiological systems and internal organs [21]
Biofeedback	Uses a sensory signal in proportion to a biological process (e.g. breathing) to provide feedback. Goals are to perform self-relaxation as needed to minimize distress and discomfort
Cognitive	Deals with how thoughts influence feelings and
behavioural therapy	behaviour, and how changing thoughts can improve mood. Requires active participation from the patient to reframe thoughts, unlearn emotional and behavioural patterns and modify and reconfigure beliefs and expectations
Distraction	Techniques include reading a book or magazine, talking with friends, watching a movie, playing computer or board games or other activity that distracts attention from pain
Exercise or fitness programme	Physical activity and sports improve quality of life and physical conditioning, increase strength and lower risk of haemophilic atrophy [22]
Guided imagery	Uses sight, sound or a combination of senses to imagine a state different than what currently exists [23]
Herbal therapy	Herbal therapy is not regulated by the FDA; thus, there is a potential for mislabelling. Strengths of products often vary [24]. Many herbs, including feverfew, garlic, ginger, gingko or Asian ginseng, can increase the risk for bleeding.
Hydrotherapy	Useful for painful or stiff joints and muscles after acute haemarthrosis, muscle bleed, chronic arthropathy and synovitis and after long periods of bed rest [22]
Hypnosis	Involves complete physical and mental relaxation to minimize stress by creating an altered state of consciousness characterized by profound relaxation [25]
Integrative therapy	Incorporates traditional non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies and non-traditional therapies (i.e. biofeedback) into pain management structured to meet individual needs [26]
Physical therapy	Goals are improved muscular strength, reduced stress on joints and decreased risk of joint damage [22]
Therapeutic massage	Manipulation of the body to normalize soft tissues. Increases blood circulation, reduces muscular tension or flaccidity, enhances tissue healing, increases ease and efficiency of movement and aids in relaxation
Transcutaneous electrical neurostimulation (TENS)	Applies a low intensity electrical impulse to stimulate peripheral nerves, which inhibits transmission of pain information along nerves and may result in the release of endorphins [27]

stepped-care progression from topical anaesthetics to mild analgesics to opioids—although no evidence-based guidelines or protocols are available that establish a stepwise treatment of pain with haemophilia. Some haemophilia patients may be reluctant to use analgesics. In one study, only 36% of haemophilia patients with pain were taking analgesics [7]. The authors concluded that promoting treatment with analgesics among haemophilia patients might decrease the effect of pain on functional limitations. As part of a comprehensive care programme,

#### 842 R. R. RILEY et al.

Table 4.	Components of RICE	for management	of pain	[28].
----------	--------------------	----------------	---------	-------

Rest	Anai
Rest affected area	A ra
Avoid weight-bearing activities	
Use splints and crutches, if necessary	stepv
Ice	sprea
Produces	gast
Superficial vasoconstriction leading to pain reduction and reduced metabolic rate	blee
Local anaesthesia by a reduction in rate of conduction of sensory nerves Change in local circulation	GI ł deca
Apply ice for no longer than 20 min at a time, four to eight times per day	pare
Use crushed ice, a cold pack or frozen bags of peas or corn	long
Compression	
Prevents or reduces swelling	grea
Use elastic wrap or compression bandage (not wrapped too tightly)	Due
Wrapped area should not hurt or throb from the bandage	con
Elevation	
Elevate the extremity as often as possible	hae
Elevate the injury above the level of the heart with pillows, etc	prep
Reduces swelling	imp
	.1

encouragement and guidance from a trusted provider may have a profound impact on a patient's willingness to utilize more aggressive pharmacologic therapy, thereby improving overall function and quality of life.

In the previously cited UK survey [1], more than onethird of the 68 patients with severe haemophilia expressed concerns about becoming dependent on prescription analgesics and, to a lesser extent, use of illicit drugs and drug-related liver damage. The study also found that the use of analgesics was related to the frequency of pain [1]. Better education through haemophilia centres with regard to risk vs. benefit of medications is clearly needed to overcome barriers to adequate pain control, which are founded largely on misconceptions of patients and providers.

Initial steps in pain management include the use of over-the-counter analgesics such as acetaminophen, ibuprofen or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). A survey of European haemophilia treatment centres found that acute and chronic pain was most often managed with acetaminophen or NSAIDs [14].

#### Acetaminophen

Randomized clinical studies of acetaminophen in patients with haemophilia have not been conducted. Despite decades of use as an analgesic, acetaminophen should be used with caution. Acetaminophen is the most common cause of acute liver failure in the United States, and almost half of those cases are due to an unintentional overdose [29]. The risk of hepatotoxicity is increased by chronic alcohol use, malnutrition and drug interactions with cytochrome (CYP) P450 inducers. An acetaminophen dosage of 4 g day<sup>-1</sup> for 14 days was associated with significant elevations in hepatic transaminase levels to three times the upper limit of normal in more than 30% of 145 healthy subjects [30].

# Analgesics: NSAIDS and COX-2 inhibitors

tional process for analgesic selection utilizes a ise approach (Table 5) [4]. Despite their wided use, NSAIDs are associated with a risk of pintestinal (GI) complications, including ulcers, ing and perforation [31–36]. Mortality from upper eeding and perforation has increased over the past e among people taking NSAIDs or aspirin comwith the general population [37]. NSAIDs with a half-life or slow-release formulations have a er risk of upper GI bleeding or perforation [32]. to the risk for bleeding, NSAIDS and medications ining acetylsalicylic acid should not be used by ophilia patients during bleeding episodes [5]. New rations of transdermal NSAIDs may permit oved pain relief in subcutaneous joints such as the knee and ankle with fewer systemic side effects. although studies in the haemophilia population are not available.

The cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors have a lower risk of GI complications than traditional NSAIDs [32,36,38] and may be used with caution during bleeding episodes [5]. Cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors also are associated with lower rates of hospitalization and perforated peptic ulcer than NSAIDs [39]. However, the beneficial GI effects of COX-2 inhibitors are offset by a significantly greater risk for cardiovascular (CV) diseases such as myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure and hypertension [40]. The risk is greatest in people with a history of CV disease or CV risk factors. Celecoxib is the only COX-2 inhibitor currently available in the United States. In addition, both NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors are associated with a heightened risk of acute renal failure within the first 30 days of therapy [41].

Only a few studies have investigated the use of NSAIDs or COX-2 inhibitors for pain among haemophilia patients. A multicenter case-control study was conducted to determine the rate of upper GI bleeding among haemophilia patients [42]. Forty-two of 2285 patients followed for a mean of 17.4 months experienced a GI bleeding episode. The risk for bleeding

Table 5. Stepwise approach to use of analgesics for pain control in haemophilia patients [4].

Step	Medication	Dosage and administration
1	Acetaminophen or NSAID	Acetaminophen: up to 650 mg dose <sup>-1</sup> and 3250 mg day <sup>-1</sup>
2	COX-2 inhibitor	Celecoxib: 100-200 mg once or twice daily
3	Acetaminophen + codeine or Acetaminophen + tramadol	10–20 mg up to six times daily or 50–100 mg, three to four times daily
4	Morphine or equivalent	Slow-release formulation: 20 mg twice daily; allow rescue dose of rapid release 10 mg, four times daily. Increase slow-release dose if rapid release is used >4 times daily

NSAID, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; COX-2, Cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitor.

with the first month of use was significantly increased with traditional NSAIDs, but not with COX-2 inhibitors. In addition, clinical studies with the COX-2 inhibitors that are not available in the United States have shown that these agents have a factor-sparing effect, relieve chronic synovitis and pain and control joint bleeding [43–45]. Thus, COX-2 inhibitors appear to have increased GI safety compared with traditional NSAIDs in haemophilia patients.

# Opioids

Clinical data have not been reported for guiding the use of opioids for pain management in haemophilia patients. Instead, guidance may be taken from recommendations by professional pain and haemophilia organizations and anecdotal experience [5,46,47]. Although recommendations in 2005 from the World Federation of Hemophilia discourage use of opioids in the haemophilia population [5], current expert guidelines encourage case-by-case risk evaluation to determine if chronic opioid therapy may be helpful in reducing pain and maintaining function [47]. By becoming adept in mechanisms of pain and pharmacology of pain medications and keeping abreast of risk assessment and regulatory requirements, healthcare providers may help develop and maintain optimal pain management strategies with the patient's best interest at heart.

Opioids are effective for relief of short-term pain and chronic non-cancer pain [46,47]. Their long-term effectiveness (≥6 months) depends on the specific opioid [46]. According to an evidence-based guideline of long-term pain applications, transdermal fentanyl and sustained-release morphine exhibit moderate efficacy (Level II-2: evidence from well-designed cohort or case– control analytic studies), oxycodone exhibits limited efficacy (Level II-3: evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention), and hydrocodone and methadone have indeterminate efficacy (Level III: the opinions of respected authorities based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, case reports or reports of expert committees).

Typically, opioids are prescribed using the morphine equivalent dose (Table 6) [4]. Equianalgesic dosing

 Table 6.
 Morphine equivalent dose for selected opioids (all doses except fentanyl are based on oral comparisons to IV morphine) [4].

Oral opioid	Approximate equianalgesic dose compared to IV morphine 10 mg
Morphine	30 mg
Codeine	200 mg
Fentanyl transdermal	$12.5 \text{ mcg h}^{-1}$
Hydrocodone	30 mg
Hydromorphone	7.5 mg
Methadone	4 mg
Oxycodone	20 mg
Oxymorphone	10 mg

should be used with caution as the possibility of incomplete cross-tolerance in a patient may actually reduce the need for a strict conversion of the medication when transitioning to other opioids. Expert guidelines recommend a 25–50% reduction in the 'equianalgesic' dose during opioid rotation, particularly when converting to methadone [47]. It is important to note that equianalgesic conversion is an incomplete science, and differences in drug metabolism and tolerance to opioids, in general, as well as side effects, must always be monitored in the individual.

Methadone is a potent and inexpensive synthetic opioid analgesic; however, it has a long half-life (up to 150 h), is extensively metabolized and accumulates in the body with repeated dosing [48]. Methadone is metabolized primarily by CYP3A4 and secondarily by CYP2D6, CYP1A2, CYP1B2 and CYP2B6 [48]. Grapefruit juice or a number of commonly used drugs can increase methadone serum levels by inhibiting metabolism via intestinal CYP3A4. Drugs with the potential to increase methadone levels include fluconazole, ciprofloxacin, diazepam, fluoxetine, paroxetine and sertraline. Drugs such as rifampin that are CYP3A4 inducers may decrease methadone levels [48]. Methadone is associated with a small, but significant increase in QT interval prolongation that may result in potentially fatal torsades de points [47].

A small percentage of patients with chronic pain are at risk for addiction to opioids [49]. The staffs at haemophilia treatment centres should be aware of these risks and develop a proactive approach to recognition and management that includes early referral for addiction counselling in patients determined to be at risk [5].

# Surgical interventions

Patients who continue to bleed into a joint despite treatment usually develop chronic, unremitting pain for which surgical intervention may be needed. Synovectomy can be performed to manage recurrent bleeding episodes in patients with chronic synovitis and permits rapid return of function. Removal of the hypertrophied synovium can reduce chronic pain due to recurrent bleeding [6]. Patients with advanced joint disease (i.e. severely narrowed joint space, decreased range of motion and pain) are less likely to benefit from synovectomy than patients with moderate disease.

If less invasive surgical procedures fail to relieve pain from haemophilic arthropathy, total knee or hip replacement offers a long-term approach. Total knee or hip arthroplasty produces at least two-fold improvements in joint pain, range of motion, knee function scores, physical activity and quality of life [50–53]. These benefits have been observed during follow-up studies over more than 10 years [51]. In addition, a reduction in the use of coagulation factors has been demonstrated after both hip and knee arthroplasty [53].

#### 844 R. R. RILEY et al.

Joints that cannot be replaced due to bone loss, infection or soft tissue consideration can often be fused. This procedure sacrifices motion for pain relief and stability. Removing the mobile joint and synovial lining should eliminate bleeding. This procedure has been especially well tolerated in the ankle and hind foot. Thus, surgical intervention offers effective relief of joint pain and disability for patients with chronic pain that is not relieved by conservative management.

# Limitations of current practice

Perhaps the most important limitation in the treatment of haemophilia-associated pain is the absence of evidencebased treatment guidelines or best practices. As few controlled trials of non-pharmacological or pharmacological therapy have been conducted, pain management in haemophilia patients is largely empirical. There are no treatment guidelines with specific recommendations for children, adults and the elderly, for the treatment needs of chronic vs. acute pain or for the relative benefits of prophylactic vs. early treatment of bleeding as a strategy for managing pain. In addition, only a few validated instruments are available for assessing pain, quality of life and disability in haemophilia patients.

The utility of some of the treatments used for pain and disability in haemophilia patients is also limited. The risk for GI bleeding with NSAIDs is especially problematic for haemophilia patients. Finally, the efficacy and safety of many of the non-pharmacologic approaches to pain management have not been demonstrated in randomized, controlled clinical trials.

Given these limitations, healthcare providers need expert knowledge of the risks and benefits of nonpharmacological and pharmacological approaches to pain that can be individualized to each patient. Clinicians also need to understand that pain management is not just about prescribing a drug, but that it involves comprehensive patient evaluation and selection of a multi-modal treatment approach that will result in effective long-term pain relief.

#### Summary

A call to action is needed to standardize treatment approaches and to develop algorithms/protocols for the management of pain in haemophilia patients. The majority of haemophilia patients will experience acute and/or chronic joint pain that is often debilitating and diminishes their quality of life. Healthcare providers should recognize and understand the importance of effective pain management for these patients. Pain management should emphasize a close relationship between haemophilia treatment centre staff and pain specialists. These approaches should include a definition of the extent of problem (i.e. the prevalence, severity and impact on patient) and a summary of best practices for physicians.

# Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the editorial assistance of Richard S. Perry, PharmD, of Churchill Communications, Maplewood, NJ, with the preparation of this manuscript, which was supported by NovoNordisk, Princeton, NJ.

# Disclosures

EH is on the speaker's bureau for Novo Nordisk. All authors have no competing interests.

# References

- 1 Elander J, Barry T. Analgesic use and pain coping among patients with haemophilia. *Haemophilia* 2003; 9: 202–13.
- Sherry DD. Avoiding the impact of musculoskeletal pain on quality of life in children with hemophilia. Orthop Nurs 2008; 27: 103–8.
- 3 Elander J, Robinson G, Mitchell K, Morris J. An assessment of the relative influence of pain coping, negative thoughts about pain, and pain acceptance on health-related quality of life among people with hemophilia. *Pain* 2009; 145: 169–75.
- 4 Mannucci PM, Schutgens RE, Santagostino E, Mauser-Bunschoten EP. How I treat agerelated morbidities in elderly persons with hemophilia. *Blood* 2009; 114: 5256–63.
- 5 World Federation of Hemophila. Guidelines for the Management of Hemophilia. Quebec, Montreal: World Federation of Hemophilia, 2005.
- 6 Raffini L, Manno C. Modern management of haemophilic arthropathy. Br J Haematol 2007; 136: 777–87.

- 7 van Genderen FR, Fischer K, Heijnen L et al. Pain and functional limitations in patients with severe haemophilia. *Haemophilia* 2006; 12: 147–53.
- 8 Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Fransen Van De Putte DE, Schutgens RE. Co-morbidity in the ageing haemophilia patient: the down side of increased life expectancy. *Haemophilia* 2009; 15: 853–63.
- 9 Barr RD, Saleh M, Furlong W et al. Health status and health-related quality of life associated with hemophilia. Am J Hematol 2002; 71: 152–60.
- 10 Gringeri A, Mantovani LG, Scalone L, Mannucci PM, COCIS Study Group. Cost of care and quality of life for patients with hemophilia complicated by inhibitors: the COCIS study group. *Blood* 2003; 102: 2358–63.
- 11 den Uijl IE, Fischer K, Van Der Bom JG, Grobbee DE, Rosendaal FR, Plug I. Clinical outcome of moderate haemophilia compared with severe and mild haemophilia. *Haemophilia* 2009; 15: 83–90.
- 12 Wallny T, Hess L, Seuser A, Zander D, Brackmann HH, Kraft CN. Pain status of

patients with severe haemophilic arthropathy. *Haemophilia* 2001; 7: 453-8.

- 13 Gourlay DL, Heit HA, Almahrezi A. Universal precautions in pain medicine: a rational approach to the treatment of chronic pain. *Pain Med* 2005; 6: 107–12.
- 14 Holstein K, Klamroth R, Perez R, Richards M, Gringeri A. European Survey on Pain Management in Patients with Hemophilia. Presented at: International Congress of the World Federation of Hemophilia; July 10-14, 2010; Buenos Aires, Argentina. Haemophilia 2010; 16(Suppl. 4): 23. [Abstract 06P22. XXIX].
- 15 Elander J, Robinson G. A brief haemophilia pain coping questionnaire. *Haemophilia* 2008; 24: 1039–48.
- 16 Hilliard P, Funk S, Zourikian N et al. Hemophilia joint health score reliability study. Haemophilia 2006; 12: 518–25.
- 17 Rosenstiel AK, Keefe FJ. The use of coping strategies in chronic low back pain patients: relationship to patient characteristics and current adjustment. *Pain* 1983; 17: 33–44.
- 18 Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD, Scott WN. Rationale of the knee society clinical rating

system. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1989; 248: 13-14.

- 19 Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Rheumatol* 1988: 15: 1833–40.
- 20 Nahin RL, Barnes PM, Stussman BJ, Bloom B. Costs of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) and frequency of visits to CAM practitioners: United States, 2007. *Natl Health Stat Report* 2009; 30: 1–14.
- 21 Rosted P, Jørgensen V. Acupuncture used in the management of pain due to arthropathy in a patient with haemophilia. Acupunct Med 2002; 20: 193–5.
- 22 Gomis M, Querol F, Gallach JE, González LM, Aznar JA. Exercise and sport in the treatment of haemophilic patients: a systematic review. *Haemophilia* 2009; 15: 43–54.
- 23 Varni JW, Gilbert A. Self-regulation of chronic arthritic pain and long-term analgesic dependence in a haemophiliac. *Rheumatol Rehabil* 1982; 21: 171–4.
- 24 Abraham J. Herbs: where's the harm? Br J Periop Nurs 2004; 9: 393–400.
- 25 Eslinger M. Hypnosis principles and applications: an adjunct to health care. Semin Periop Nurs 1998; 7: 39–40.
- 26 Liu SX, Jiang L, Liang X et al. Study on graded therapy of hemophilic arthritis by integrative traditional Chinese and Western medicine. Chin J Integr Med 2007; 13: 301– 5.
- 27 Osiri M, Welch V, Brosseau L et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for knee osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; 4: CD002823.
- 28 Buzzard BM. Physiotherapy for prevention and treatment of chronic hemophilic synovitis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1997; 343: 42-6.
- 29 Schilling A, Corey R, Leonard M, Eghtesad B. Acetaminophen: old drug, new warnings. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2010; 77: 19–27.
- 30 Watkins PB, Kaplowitz N, Slattery JT et al. Aminotransferase elevations in healthy adults receiving 4 grams of acetaminophen daily: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2006; 296: 87–93.
- 31 Reisine T, Pasternak G. Opioid analgesics and antagonists. In: Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Molinoff PE, Ruddon RW, Gilman AG

eds. Goodman and Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 9th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1996.

- 32 González EL, Patrignani P, Tacconelli S, Rodríguez LA. Variability of risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding among non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010; 62: 1592–601.
- 33 Lewis SC, Langman MJ, Laporte JR, Matthews JN, Rawlins MD, Wiholm BE. Doseresponse relationships between individual non-aspirin non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NANSAIDs) and serious upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a meta-analysis based on individual patient data. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2002; 54: 320–6.
- 34 Henry D, Lim LL, Garcia Rodriguez LA et al. Variability in risk of gastrointestinal complications with individual non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: results of a collaborative meta-analysis. B Med J 1996; 312: 1563–6.
- 35 Ofman JJ, MacLean CH, Straus WL et al. A metaanalysis of severe upper gastrointestinal complications of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. J Rheumatol 2002; 29: 804– 12.
- 36 Langman MJ, Jensen DM, Watson DJ et al. Adverse upper gastrointestinal effects of rofecoxib compared with NSAIDs. JAMA 1999; 282: 1929–33.
- 37 Straube S, Tramèr MR, Moore RA, Derry S, McQuay HJ. Mortality with upper gastrointestinal bleeding and perforation: effects of time and NSAID use. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2009; 9: 41.
- 38 Watson DJ, Yu Q, Bolognese JA, Reicin AS, Simon TJ. The upper gastrointestinal safety of rofecoxib vs. NSAIDs: an updated combined analysis. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004; 20: 1539–48.
- 39 Christensen S, Riis A, Nørgaard M, Thomsen RW, Sørensen HT. Introduction of newer selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors and rates of hospitalization with bleeding and perforated peptic ulcer. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 25: 907–12.
- 40 Antman EM, Bennett JS, Daugherty A *et al.* Use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: an update for clinicians: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2007; 115: 1634– 42.
- 41 Schneider V, Lévesque LE, Zhang B, Hutchinson T, Brophy JM. Association of selective and conventional non-steroidal

anti-inflammatory drugs with acute renal failure: a population-based, nested casecontrol analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006; **164**: 881–9.

- 42 Eyster ME, Asaad SM, Gold BD, Cohn SE, Goederts JJ. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in haemophiliacs: incidence and relation to use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Haemophilia* 2007; 13: 279–86.
- 43 Bragadottir G, Onundarson PT. Factorsparing use of the COX-2 inhibitor rofecoxib in haemophilic arthropathy. *Haemophilia* 2002; 8: 832–3.
- 44 Rattray B, Nugent DJ, Young G. Rofecoxib as adjunctive therapy for haemophilic arthropathy. *Haemophilia* 2005; 11: 240–4.
- 45 Tsoukas C, Eyster ME, Shingo S et al. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of etoricoxib in the treatment of hemophilic arthropathy. Blood 2006; 107: 1785–90.
- 46 Trescot AM, Helm S, Hansen H et al. Opioids in the management of chronic noncancer pain: An Update of American Society of the Interventional Pain Physicians' (ASIPP) Guidelines. Pain Physician 2008; 11(Suppl. 2): S5–62.
- 47 Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG *et al.* Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid therapy in chronic non-cancer pain. *J Pain* 2009; **10**: 113–30.
- 48 Trescot AM, Datta S, Lee M, Hansen H. Opioid pharmacology. *Pain Physician* 2008; 11(Suppl. 2): S113–53.
- 49 Portenoy RK, Farrar JT, Backonja MM et al. Long-term use of controlled-release oxycodone for non-cancer pain: results of a 3-year registry study. Clin J Pain 2007; 23: 287–99.
- 50 Chiang CC, Chen PQ, Shen MC, Tsai W. Total knee arthroplasty for severe haemophilic arthropathy: long-term experience in Taiwan. *Haemophilia* 2008; 14: 828–34.
- 51 Habermann B, Eberhardt C, Hovy L, Zichner L, Scharrer I, Kurth AA. Total hip replacement in patients with severe bleeding disorders. A 30 years single center experience. *Int Orthop* 2007; 31: 17–21.
- 52 Legroux-Gérot I, Strouk G, Parquet A, Goodemand J, Gougeon F, Duquesnoy B. Total knee arthroplasty in hemophilic arthropathy. *Joint Bone Spine* 2003; 70: 22–32.
- 53 Bae DK, Yoon KH, Kim HS, Song SJ. Total knee arthroplasty in hemophilic arthropathy of the knee. J Arthroplasty 2005; 20: 664–8.

